

Message

From: Costanzi, Frances [Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/2/2019 9:15:15 PM
To: Coleman, Charles [COleman.Charles@epa.gov]; Wardell, Christopher [Wardell.Christopher@epa.gov]
CC: Vranka, Joe [vranka.joe@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Anaconda FYR Scoping

Thanks, Charlie! I let Skeo know and have them re-submit. Have a nice weekend!

Fran

From: Coleman, Charles <COleman.Charles@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 3:00 PM
To: Costanzi, Frances <Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov>; Wardell, Christopher <Wardell.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Anaconda FYR Scoping

I'm good with this

From: Costanzi, Frances <Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 02, 2019 2:36 PM
To: Wardell, Christopher <Wardell.Christopher@epa.gov>; Coleman, Charles <COleman.Charles@epa.gov>
Cc: Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Anaconda FYR Scoping

Hi Charlie...thoughts? After I hear from you, I will get Skeo to revise what they wrote and resubmit.

Thanks!

Fran

From: Wardell, Christopher <Wardell.Christopher@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 1:15 PM
To: Coleman, Charles <COleman.Charles@epa.gov>; Costanzi, Frances <Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov>
Cc: Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Anaconda FYR Scoping

Another addition is that we can, and probably should, offer up the opportunity for community members to participate in FYR interviews by having an drop in session for the public to come in and provide interviews if they want. We can advertise this in the FYR public notice and on the website.

Chris Wardell
U.S. EPA Region 8
303-312-6062
wardell.christopher@epa.gov

From: Coleman, Charles <COleman.Charles@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 4:39 PM
To: Costanzi, Frances <Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov>; Wardell, Christopher <Wardell.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Anaconda FYR Scoping

Fran,

The FYR task looks fine, although I would prefer that all of the community interviews for the FYR be conducted this fall. I think the CIP effort should be kept separate and that we should wait to task it until after we get the new CIC on board.

Charlie

From: Costanzi, Frances <Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2019 12:01 PM
To: Coleman, Charles <Coleman.Charles@epa.gov>; Wardell, Christopher <Wardell.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Vranka, Joe <vranka.joe@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Anaconda FYR Scoping

Hi Charlie and Chris. Please review and let me know if it looks acceptable or if we should have a call among the three of us to discuss. Or if we should go back to Skeo to ask for a clarification. It is more expensive than most of the FYRs, and is probably closest although less expensive to what we did for the Silver Bow FYR. And although there are only 5 OUs out of the 16 now, there are a lot of actions/decision units which add complexity. So the increased dollar amount seems appropriate to me to meet the needs of this site as Charlie described it, but please let me know what you think.

We did include additional community involvement in the Silver Bow FYR, but not the CIP update, nor the extra research issues. We've added the CIP at 2 other R8 sites so far (VB/I-70 and Lowry Landfill) and both those efforts are currently underway.

I had a chance to speak with Kate Garufi yesterday afternoon, and she strongly prefers we adhere to the FYR template and only include the additional research in a high-level summary fashion as needed to answer the 3 FYR questions. In Kate-speak, she doesn't like to hang too many ornaments on the tree. BUT she suggests that you could release the other info at the same time/in conjunction as the FYR report, in order to meet the spirit of what the RA promised. Perhaps this could be one of the additional public outreach documents.

Again, please let me know what you think/if we should discuss. I'm working remotely today, so the best way is to shoot me an email.

Thanks!

Fran

From: Treat Suomi <tsuomi@skeo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2019 11:04 AM
To: Costanzi, Frances <Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov>
Subject: Anaconda FYR Scoping

Hi Fran – Thanks again for you, Charlie and Chris' time on Monday to work through the scope of the Anaconda Smelter FYR set to be signed by September 2020. It was helpful to start talking about your expectations, needs and a few of the site details.

This FYR and the associated expanded community involvement activities are more extensive than the typical FYR. I have broken the scoping into two sections – the FYR itself and then a section on the expanded community involvement needs. Below is a list of some of the things we discussed to allow for pricing out the work under the fixed price nature of this contract.

The FYR

- This will be a sitewide FYR that includes 5 OUs in the FYR process OU4, OU7, OU11, OU15 and OU16. All other OUs at the site have been folded into these 5 OUs.
- We will plan on having 2 people from Skeo attend one three day visit to the site area with a travel day before and after. This site visit will occur in the early fall. This will include:
 - Touring the site and completing site inspections for all 5 OUS. All subareas or decision units will not be inspected but a sampling of the pertinent areas will be toured. A heavy reliance on annual vegetation and other O&M reports will be used to evaluate the progress of various remedy components.
 - Conducting limited interviews with local officials and stakeholders that can provide information and comments pertinent to evaluating the protectiveness of the remedy. More expansive community interviews will be conducted in the spring with a larger set of community members. Skeo will draft FYR specific interview questions that can be used as needed as a part of the FYR.
 - Conducting any needed research related to ICs, including possibly meeting with and interviewing county staff responsible for implementing IC needs.
 - Going to local areas in Anaconda where site records are kept (but NOT reviewing the site file in Helena).
- Skeo Solutions will provide an initial press notice announcing the start of the FYR and a final press notice announcing the FYR is complete, for EPA to publish in the local newspaper.
- Data analysis will primarily rely on annual reports from the last 5 years. In some cases PRPs and EPA contractors will provide reports that look at data and summarize the results from the previous 5 years. Skeo will not collect any new data. The RPM may need his current remedial contractor to produce some additional maps and data summaries to update site status for consideration during the FYR.
- Skeo may need to manipulate and review the USGS surface water data in a way that can be presented in the FYR. Data is currently available in a USGS database but is not summarized in an annual report.
- There are several individual research papers that are expected to be completed by the end of the calendar year by a variety of agencies and organizations. These documents will be reviewed and referred to in the FYR as they are needed to specifically answer the technical assessment questions. The FYR will not present the entirety of the findings of each research paper. Additional options for communicating results are discussed in the expanded community involvement options below.
- The desire is to create a FYR report that meets the required guidance, focusing on matters that affect protectiveness and lead to answering the three technical assessment questions.
- After the FYR is finalized, Skeo will provide a short FYR summary document for the Region to utilize in community involvement activities.
- EPA will provide Skeo Solutions with all necessary data and documents to complete the FYR.

After considering all of these pieces and pricing out the expenses specifically related to all of the components of completing the FYR, the total we came up with is Ex. 4 CBI please let us know if this figure meets your needs or if we need to discuss things further.

Expanded Community involvement options

The following was considered to meet additional expanded community involvement activities at the site.

- In early 2020, Skeo will send 2 people assist EPA with conducting up to 3 days of community involvement activities and interviews. These interviews will be conducted to assist in gaining additional input for the FYR and to gather information for updating the Community Involvement Plan (CIP). This will include three consecutive days of activities in Anaconda with travel the day before and the day after. Specific activities will be worked out with EPA but may include:
 - Drafting interview questions for EPA to review that may include questions necessary for EPA to update the community involvement plan and collect information related to communicating risk information.
 - Scheduling and assisting EPA with interviews that will occur in person during the community involvement site visit. This may include conducting individual or group interviews at a location such as the local library, coffee shop or other location.
 - Joining and assisting EPA during a meeting with local community groups.
 - If EPA desires, in lieu of some portion of the community involvement days at the site, Skeo may assist EPA with some phone interviews before or after the site visit.

- Skeo will summarize the interviews conducted for inclusion in the FYR and the CIP.
- Skeo will update the CIP. This will be an update of the prior CIP and serve as the written road map for community involvement at the site and not the culmination of a larger planning process undertaken by Skeo.
 - Skeo will attend a call with EPA after the interviews to discuss the themes that emerged from the interview process and the action plan needed in the CIP document. Prior to the call, Skeo will share a draft of the initial sections of the CIP including site description, community profile, and the community needs and concerns sections.
 - Skeo will then complete drafting the CIP and provide to EPA for review.
 - EPA will coordinate the review process and provide needed revisions to Skeo.
 - Skeo will revise the document and provide EPA with a final 508 compliant draft for review by the community.
 - EPA will coordinate the review process and provide to Skeo the revisions they want to the document. A response to comments report will not be created. EPA will go through the comments and determine which ones are appropriate to address in the revised draft.
 - Skeo will revise the report based on direction from EPA and provide a final 508 compliant document.
- Skeo may work with EPA to create products that help communicate information from reports and documents to the community. This will rely on information and data provided in research documents that examined issues the community raised about the site remedy and potential human health and ecological risks. Skeo will work with EPA to determine the specific needs but may include things such as an information booklet, fact sheets, pamphlets or handouts. This work is expected to include one or two final products that range from 2-4 pages each. It does **not** include printing copies for distribution.

After considering all of these pieces and pricing out the expenses specifically related to the components of completing this expanded community involvement efforts that are outside the normal range of things included in a traditional FYR, the total we came up with is **Ex. 4 CBI** please let us know if this figure meets your needs or if we need to discuss things further.

If we combine the FYR and the expanded Community involvement activities into one total, it is **Ex. 4 CBI**

Sincerely,
Treat

Treat Suomi

Senior Associate

(719) 256-4674

(719) 480-0487 (mobile) | www.skeo.com

Skeo is a contractor for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Technical Assistance Services for Communities Program under contract number EP-W-13-015.